



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,113		03/23/2004	Wei Yuan Zhang	ADOL-0762	2519
23377	7590	03/23/2006		EXAM	INER
		SHBURN LLP	SHIAO, REI TSANG		
ONE LIBER		CE, 46TH FLOOR EET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		A, PA 19103		1626	
				DATE MAIL ED. 02/22/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/807,113	ZHANG ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Robert Shiao	1626
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHOI WHICH - Extension after SI - If NO pe - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RELEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ones of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statly received by the Office later than three months after the mapatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reprince of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)□ T 3)□ S	desponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>argonical section</u> is FINAL . 2b) This action is FINAL . 2b ince this application is in condition for allowed in accordance with the practice undespective.	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matter	·
Dispositio	n of Claims		
4a 5) □ C 6) □ C 7) □ C 8) ☑ C Application 9) □ Th	e specification is objected to by the Exam	drawn from consideration. d/or election requirement.	
A _ R	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) applicant may not request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the combe oath or declaration is objected to by the	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) <u>□</u> 1. 2. 3.	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burds the attached detailed Office action for a least content of the papplication for a least content of th	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apportionity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
2) Notice o 3) Informa) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ lo(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 20-39 are pending in the application.

Election/Restriction

- 2. The Markush group set forth in the claims includes both independent and distinct inventions, and patentably distinct compounds (or species) within each invention. However, this application discloses and claims a plurality of patentably distinct inventions far too numerous to list individually. Moreover, each of these inventions contains a plurality of patentably distinct compounds, also far too numerous to list individually. For these reasons provided below, restriction to one of the following Groups is required under 35 U.S.C. 121, wherein an Group is a set of patentably distinct inventions of a broad statutory category (e.g. Compounds, Methods of Use, Methods of Making, etc.):
- I. Claims 20-29, in part, drawn to compounds/compositions and methods of use of formula (IIIA), wherein the variable R₁ and R₂ together form –(CH₂)m-, and m is 4, -CH₂CH(OR)(CH₂)₂-, or -CH₂CH(F)(CH₂)₂- thereof, classified in class 514/548, with various subclasses. If this group is elected, applicants are requested to elect a single species for the search purpose.
- II. Claims 20-29, in part, drawn to compounds/compositions and methods of use of formula (IIIA), wherein the variable R₁ and R₂ together form –(CH₂)m-, and m is

5-8, thereof, classified in class 514/540/546, with various subclasses. If this group is elected, applicants are requested to elect a single species for the search purpose.

- III. Claims 20-29, in part, drawn to compounds/compositions of formula (IIIA), wherein the variable R₁ and R₂ together form -(CH₂)₂O(CH₂)₂- or -(CH₂)₂CH=CH₂- thereof, classified in class 514/544/546, with various subclasses. If this group is elected, applicants are requested to elect a single species for the search purpose.
- IV. Claims 30-39, in part, drawn to compounds/compositions and methods of use of formula (IVA), wherein the variable R₁ and R₂ together form –(CH₂)m-, and m is 4, -CH₂CH(OR)(CH₂)₂-, or -CH₂CH(F)(CH₂)₂- thereof, classified in class 514/548, with various subclasses. If this group is elected, applicants are requested to elect a single species for the search purpose.
- V. Claims 30-39, in part, drawn to compounds/compositions and methods of use of formula (IVA), containing compounds of formula (IVA) not encompassed in Group IV, classified in class 514/544/546, with various subclasses. If this group is elected, applicants are requested to elect a single species for the search purpose.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,113

Art Unit: 1626

In accordance with the decisions in *In re Harnisch*, 631 F.2d 716, 206 USPQ 300 (CCPA 1980); and *Ex parte Hozumi*, 3 USPQ2d 1059 (Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1984), restriction of a Markush group is proper where the compounds within the group either (1) do not share a common utility, or (2) do not share a substantial structural feature disclosed as being essential to that utility. In addition, a Markush group may encompass a plurality of independent and distinct inventions where two or more members are so unrelated and diverse that a prior art reference anticipating the claim with respect to one of the members would not render the other member(s) obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Where an election of any one of Groups I-V is made, an election of a single compound or species is further required. Moreover, an election of a single compound is further required including an exact definition of each substitution on the base molecule (Formula (IIIA)), wherein a single member at each substituent group or moiety is selected. For example, if a base molecule has a substituent group X₇, wherein X₇ is recited to be any one of H, or halogen, etc., then applicant must select a single substituent of X₇, for example H, and each subsequent variable position. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the compounds are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the compounds to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C 103(a) of the other.

All compounds falling outside the class(es) and subclass(es) of the selected compound and any other subclass encompassed by the election above will be directed to nonelected subject matter and will be withdrawn from consideration under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 C.F.R. 1.142(b). Applicant may reserve the right to file divisional applications on the remaining subject matter. The provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 apply with regard to double patenting covering divisional applications.

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventors must be amended in compliance with 37C.F.R. 1.48(b) if one of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37CFR 1.17(i). If desired upon election of a single compound, applicants can review the claims and disclosure to determine the scope of the invention and can **set forth** a group of compounds which are so similar within the same inventive concept and reduction to practice. Markush claims must be provided with support in the disclosure for each member of the Markush group. See MPEP 608.01(p). Applicant should exercise caution in making a selection of a single member for each substituent group on the base molecule to be consistent with the written description.

Rationale Establishing Patentable Distinctiveness Within Each Group

Each Invention Set listed above is directed to or involves the use or making of compounds which are recognized in the art as being distinct from one another because of their diverse chemical structure, their different chemical properties, modes of action,

Application/Control Number: 10/807,113

Art Unit: 1626

different effects and reactive conditions (MPEP 806.04, MPEP 808.01). Additionally, the level of skill in the art is not such that one invention would be obvious over either of the other inventions, i.e. they are patentable over each other. Chemical structures which are similar are presumed to function similarly, whereas chemical structures that are not similar are not presumed to function similarly. The presumption even for similar chemical structures though is not irrebuttable, but may be overcome by scientific reasoning or evidence showing that the structure of the prior art would not have been expected to function as the structure of the claimed invention. Note that in accordance with the holdings of Application of Papesch, 50 CCPA 1084, 315 F.2d 381, 137 USPQ 43 (CCPA 1963) and In re Lalu, 223 USPQ 1257 (Fed. Cir. 1984), chemical structures are patentably distinct where the structures are either not structurally similar, or the prior art fails to suggest a function of a claimed compound would have been expected from a similar structure.

The above Groups represent general areas wherein the inventions are independent and distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Each of Groups I-V is distinct and independent products and methods of use, one from the other on the basis of structure defined in the claimed compounds as directed to various products of formula (IIIA) or (IVA) having various heteroaryl or heterocycle moieties formed by the variables R₁ and R₂ and the adjacent nitrogen (i.e., piperidine, morpholoine, etc.), and they differ in elements, bonding arrangement and chemical property to such an extend that a reference anticipating compounds of any one group would not render another group obvious. Absent factual evidence to the

contrary, each is a different chemical compounds or methods of use.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

In addition, because of the plethora of classes and subclasses in each of the Groups, a serious burden is imposed on the examiner to perform a complete search of the defined areas. Therefore, because of the reasons given above, the restriction set forth is proper and not to restrict would impose a serious burden in the examination of this application.

Claim Objections

3. The formula (IVA) of claim 30 is objected to as not having a nitrogen atom adjacent to the variables R_1 and R_2 , see page 13 of the specification.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Shiao whose telephone number is (571) 272-0707. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KAMAL A. SAEED, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner

esolul

Art Unit 1626

Robert Shiao, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626

March 15, 2006